**APPENDIX I** 

CONSULTATION RESPONSES TO LAND AT COALVILLE LANE/RAVENSTONE ROAD, COALVILLE (R17)

## **RESPONSES TO PROPOSED ALLOCATIONS**

HOUSING	SITE NUMBER: R17	SITE NAME: LAND AT JUNCTION OF WASH LANE AND COALVILLE LANE,
		RAVENSTONE

MAIN ISSUES RAISED	COUNCIL RESPONSE	ACTION	RESPONDENTS ID	RESPONDENTS NAME
Wash Lane, between the Hoo Ash Roundabout and Ravenstone Crossroads, is horrendously congested at rush hour and adding 300+ cars (assuming each house has 2 cars) is going to create major problems without some kind of improvement to the Ravenstone crossroads to the south of the site. The on-street parking on Wash Lane obstructs the flow of traffic and causes a huge backlog. A solution needs to be found to alleviate congestion as part of the development of this land.	This site is the subject of a current planning application (21/00494/OUTM). The County Highway Authority has advised that the impacts on highway safety would not be unacceptable and the impact on the road network would not be severe. As a result it does not conflict with paragraph 111 of the NPPF, subject to conditions and/or planning obligations.	No change	95	Lucy Cave
Support the proposed allocation, the northern part of which is the subject of a current planning application for up to 105 dwellings (21/00494/OUTM). In terms of the requirement for a pedestrian link through the adjoining land to the east, this cannot be delivered as it requires land outside of the site promoters control. As such, the policy should therefore be rewritten	Noted. It is agreed that criteria (c) should be amended.	That criteria (c) be amended to state" "The provision of a pedestrian link to the eastern boundary of the site to enable connectivity to existing development to the east".	147	Gladman

as a 'desirable' rather than a strict requirement of the allocation. It is considered that it is likely that more than 153 dwellings could be accommodate do the site.	Some of the remaining part of the site of this may be required to make provision for biodiversity net gain or National Forest planting. Therefore, it would be prudent to not amend the current assumptions. The site are for the total site is about 8.2Ha. Whilst it is possible that this could, therefore			
From a further review of the Council website this states this application has not yet been determined and is awaiting a decision. However, within the Allocation Documents it states that planning has been granted it seems no such decision has yet been formally issued.	Paragraph 4.28 of the draft Local Plan states that "The northern part of the site (currently in agricultural use) is the subject of a planning application for 105 dwellings (21/00494/OUTM)." This remains the case.	No change	182	Boyer Planning o/b/o Redrow Homes
Question whether all of the site is deliverable, especially that part that is brownfield. If this part is not deliverable then there will be a need to allocate land elsewhere, such as at Church Lane Ravenstone (R9).	There is no evidence at this stage to suggest that all of the remainder of this site could not be developed, although it is recognised that some of this may be required for biodiversity net gain or National Forest planting. This is allowed for in the assumption regarding the			

	amount of development			
	anticipated.			
The site falls within				
the parish of Ravenstone but it is	It is the case that the site,			
being classed as development within	together with adjoining land to			
the Coalville Urban Area (CUA).	the east and to the north of			
	Coalville Lane is located within			
	the parish of Ravenstone.			
	However, these areas read in			
	physical terms as part of the			
Development of the site will increase	Coalville Urban Area.			
the coalescence between Coalville				
and Ravenstone.	The A447 provides a logical			
	rounding off and limits any			
	further westward extension			
	towards Ravenstone.			
The LHA's previous SHELAA	This site is the subject of a	No change	341	Leicestershire
comments highlighted that Access	current planning application	_		County Council
from Wash Lane may be contrary to	(21/00494/OUTM). The County			(highways
the Leicestershire Highway Design	Highway Authority has advised			authority)
Guide Policy IN5, 'Our Access to the	that the impacts on highway			
Road Network Policy'.	safety would not be			
	unacceptable and the impact			
	on the road network would not			
	be severe. As a result it does not			
	conflict with paragraph 111 of the			
	NPPF, subject to conditions			
	and/or planning obligations.			
Land at Coalville Lane/Ravenstone	Noted	That a requirement be	341	Leicestershire
Road – the site is in a Mineral		included that a Mineral		County Council
Safeguarding Area for both sand &		Assessment be		(planning
gravel and brick clay so would require		submitted as part of a		authority)
a minerals assessment in accordance		planning application.		
with Policy M11of the Leicestershire				
Minerals and Waste Local Plan.				

Site lies within Flood Zone 1	Noted	No change	404	The
				Environment
				Agency